

Prognostic evaluation of *Acinetobacter baumannii* ventilator-associated pneumonia in COVID-19

Ilaria De Benedetto¹, Tommaso Lupia², Nour Shbaklo¹, Alessandro Bianchi³, Erika Concialdi⁴, Maurizio Penna⁴, Silvia Corcione^{1,5}, Francesco Giuseppe De Rosa^{1,2}

¹Department of Medical Sciences, Infectious Diseases, University of Turin, Italy;

²Unit of Infectious Disease, Cardinal Massaia Hospital, Asti, Italy;

³Unit of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Cardinal Massaia Hospital, Asti, Italy;

⁴Laboratory of Microbiology, Cardinal Massaia Hospital, Asti, Italy;

⁵Tufts University, School of Medicine, Boston, USA

Article received 4 October 2022, accepted 12 November 2022

SUMMARY

Background: Since the emergence of the pandemic of SARS-CoV-2, a high reported incidence of VAP in COVID-19 sustained by carbapenem resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* (CRAB) has been observed, but data are scarce to date.

Materials and methods: We retrospectively collected COVID-19 patients who developed CRAB-VAP - defined according to Center for Diseases Control (CDC) 2020 criteria and European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) or Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) guidelines - to describe characteristics and outcome.

Results: Among 21 patients with CRAB-VAP in COVID-19, median age was 66 years (IQR 41-80). Median

time of VAP-onset was 7 days (IQR 0-28 days) from ICU - admission and 76.2% had septic shock. Treatment regimens were all colistin-based, in 28% (n=6) including ampicillin/sulbactam and rifampicin. In three cases, cefiderocol was started as rescue. Survival rate at 28-days was 35% (n=7).

Conclusion: Non-fermenting Gram-negative bacteria are an emerging aetiology of VAP in COVID-19 patients. This underscores the urgent need for proper microbiological identification to address therapies and infection control protocols.

Keywords: VAP, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, ICU.

INTRODUCTION

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is the most frequent ventilator-associated complication (VAC). VAP is also the most common infection acquired in intensive care units (ICUs), with a reported incidence of 5%-40%, depending on the setting and the diagnostic criteria used [1-5]. In European countries in 2017, the EU-VAP/CAP study reported an incidence density of 18.3 VAP

episodes per 1,000 ventilator days [3]. Notoriously, VAP is associated with prolonged hospitalizations, increased MV durations and an estimated attributable mortality rate of approximately 10% with a crude mortality rate of 40% [1-5].

It has been two years since the emergence of the pandemic of respiratory infection by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) with respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation (MV) reported in 2.3%-33% of the infected patients [6]. According to this evidence, VAP with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has recently surfaced as an essential research topic in several works. Data are summarized in Table 1 [7-13]. Multiple VAP episodes with COVID-19

Corresponding author

Ilaria De Benedetto

E-mail: ilaria.debenedetto@me.com

have been significantly associated with prolonged ICU stays with a steady incidence of carbapenemases producing Enterobacterales but a 7.5-fold and 5.5-fold increased risk of colonization and infection, respectively, by carbapenems resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* [13-15]. Despite this data little is known about *A. baumannii* infections in

COVID-19 and in particular about VAP [16-18]. In this retrospective single center study, we decided to investigate VAP in COVID-19 patients with particular interest on *A. baumannii* aetiology in order to provide information on characteristics and outcome of this increasingly important clinical entity.

Table 1 - Characteristics of included studies on ventilator-associated pneumonia in COVID-19.

	Population	Time to VAP diagnosis Median (Range) in days	Demographic characteristics/Risk factors	Sample methods	Microbiology	Outcomes	Early appropriate treatment
Giacobbe et al. [7]	- 171 patients (29% of the general population) - Median age 64 (57-71) years - 80% (Male)	9 (5-15) 18 per 1000 ventilator days	- Hypertension (64%) - DM (23%) - Previous antibiotics (95%) - Previous steroids (63%) - Previous anti-IL-6 (64%)	BAL 77 (45%)	- <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (35%) - MSSA (23%) - <i>K. pneumoniae</i> (19%) - MRSA (10%) - CRE (32%)	30-day case-fatality 46% <i>Risk factors for mortality:</i> septic shock at VAP onset (OR 3.30) ARDS at VAP onset (OR 13.21)	45/77 cases (58%) Not protective in mortality
Llitjos et al. [8]	- 92 patients (52%) - Median age 63 (55-73) years - 76% (Male)	9 (6-14)	- DM (26%) - COPD (10%) - Immunosuppression (15%) - Septic shock at VAP onset (16%) - ARDS (96%, SHR=1.84) - Duration of MV (SHR=1.027)	ETA or BAL, not specified	- Enterobacteriaceae (50%) - Non-fermenting GNB (20%) - Gram-positive cocci (28%) - Polymicrobial (24%)	ICU length of stay, days 20 (12-30) ICU mortality 31%	NA
Luyt et al. [9]	- 43 patients (86%) in ECMO - Median age 48 (42-56) years - 72% (Male)	10 (8-16)	- VAP recurrence rate 79%	Quantitative growth ($\geq 10^4$ CFU/mL) BAL	- Enterobacteriaceae (70%) - Inducible AmpC-cephalosporinase producers (40%) - Non-fermenting GNB (42%) inc. <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (37%) - Polymicrobial (38%)	ECMO support, days 21 (10-34) Days on MV 45 (27-62) ICU length of stay, days 48 (34-68) ICU mortality rate, days 17 (34)	81%
Razazi et al. [10]	- 58 patients (64%)	8 (5-12)	- Recurrent VAP 22 (25%) vs 10 (12%) in NC-ARDS p=ns	Blind protected telescope catheter 89% BAL 11%	- MDR-VAP in C-ARDS vs NC-ARDS: 21 (23%) vs. 9 (11%), p=0.03. - ESBL VAP in NC-ARDS vs C-ARDS: 9 (11%) vs 18 (20%) - MRSA VAP in NC-ARDS vs C-ARDS: 0 vs 1 (1%)	--	Carbapenem was more used in C-ARDS than in NC-ARDS: 48 (53%), vs 21 (26%), p<0.01

Continue >>>

>>> Continue

	Population	Time to VAP diagnosis Median (Range) in days	Demographic characteristics/Risk factors	Sample methods	Microbiology	Outcomes	Early appropriate treatment
Rouzé et al. [11]	- 205 patients (36.1%)	NA	- CPIS 6 (5-7) - PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ 135 (92-180) - SOFA score 8 (5-11)	ETA 125/205 (61.6%) BAL 78/205 (38.4%)	- GNB (83.6%) inc. <i>P. aeruginosa</i> 64 (22.3%), <i>Enterobacter</i> 54 (18.8%) - MDR isolates 67 (23.3%) - Gram-pos cocci 56 (19.5%) - Polymicrobial 28 (9.8%)	ICU length of stay, days 18 (12–27) ICU mortality 164/568 (28.9%) 28-day mortality 166/568 (29.2%)	145/200 (72.5%)
Maes et al. [12]	- 64 patients (79%) - Median age 62 (50-70) years	28/1000 ventilator days versus vs 13/1000 for pts not COVID (p=0.009)	- Hypertension (33%) - DM (22%) - Obesity (37%) - Chronic lung disease (20%) - Immunocompromised (15%) - APACHE II (IQR) 15 (11–19) - ARDS on ICU admission (78%)	ETA or BAL 39 (48%) BAL 30 (47%)	- <i>E. coli</i> - <i>P. aeruginosa</i> - <i>S. maltophilia</i> - <i>E. cloacae</i> - <i>K. aerogenes</i> - <i>K. pneumoniae</i> - <i>S. aureus</i>	ICU mortality 31 (38%) Median length of stay for patients dying in ICU (IQR) 13 (10-17)	NA
Blonz et al. [13]	- 92 patients (48.9%) - Mean age 65.3 (±9.5)	- 39.0 per 1000 days MV (until the first VAP episode) - 33 VAP per 1000 days MV (including all 141 episodes of VAP).	- BSI (10.6%) - Thoracic empyema (3.5%) - Pulmonary abscess (1.4%) - Male gender (SHR 2.24) - ECMO (15.2%) (SHR 3.09) - Recurrent VAP 37 (19.7%)	ETA 60 (42.6%), (BAL) 50 (35.4%) plugged telescopic catheter 30 (21.3%)	- Polimicrobial 39.0% - Enterobacteria 49.8% - <i>P. aeruginosa</i> 15.1% - GPB in early VAP 47.0% vs 22.8% in late VAP - GNB in late VAP 77.2%	Still in ICU 3 (5.8) VAP single episode vs 10 (25.0) VAP multiple episodes (p=0.009)	69 (89.9%)

Abbreviations: BAL: bronchoalveolar lavage; MSSA: methicillin susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*; CRE: carbapenems resistant Enterobacteriales; MRSA: methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome; VAP: ventilator associated pneumonia; DM: diabetes mellitus; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; MV: mechanical ventilation; ETA: endotracheal aspiration; GNB: Gram negative bacteria; ECMO: extracorporeal continuous membrane oxygenation; NC: not-COVID-19; C: COVID-19; MDR: multi-drug resistant; NA: not applicable.

■ PATIENTS AND METHODS

We retrospectively collected patients admitted to ICU with COVID-19 who developed *A. baumannii* VAP from 15 September 2020 to 21 March 2021 in a single center Cardinal Massaia Hospital, Asti, Italy. The objective was to describe the characteristics and outcome of patients with *A. baumannii* VAP in COVID-19. The diagnosis of COVID-19 was made in the presence of at least one positive real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

test for SARS-CoV-2 on nasopharyngeal swab or sputum. Ventilator Associated-Pneumonia was defined according to Center of Disease Control (CDC) 2020 VAP criteria for adults with the presence of new or modifying chest X-ray infiltrates occurring more than 48 h after initiation of invasive mechanical ventilation with at least one of the following: a) body temperature $\geq 38^{\circ}\text{C}$ or b) total peripheral white blood cell count $\geq 12,000$ cells/ μL or ≤ 4000 cells/ μL and at least two of the following:

- 1) new onset of purulent sputum or change in the character of sputum or increased respiratory secretions or increased suctioning requirements;
- 2) new-onset or worsening cough or dyspnea or tachypnea;
- 3) rales or bronchial breath sounds;
- 4) worsening gas exchange, increased oxygen requirements or increased ventilator demand [19].

In addition, microbiological confirmation was defined by a positive bronchoalveolar aspirate culture $\geq 10^4$ UFC/mL (European Respiratory Society (ERS), European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM), European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) and Asociación Latinoamericana del Tórax (ALAT Guidelines), according to quantitative invasive cultural sampling or a positive endotracheal aspirate culture $\geq 10^6$ UFC/mL, according to quantitative non-invasive cultural sampling (Infectious Diseases Society of America - IDSA [20, 21]). Ethical committee approval was not necessary because of the retrospective collection of data. Demographic and clinical characteristics were summarized through absolute frequencies and percentages for the qualitative variables and through

the percentiles (median, first quartile-third quartile) for the quantitative variables. The primary objective of the study analysis was the description of 7-days survival, 21-days survival and 28-days survival by median of numbers and percentages. Differences between survivors and non-survivors were analyzed through Student's *t*-test or Mann Whitney U-test and Chi-square Test or Fisher test, as appropriate.

■ RESULTS

There were 21 patients with ventilator-associated pneumonia sustained by *A. baumannii* in COVID-19 patients in ICU. The median age was 66 years (IQR 54-73) and 95.3% (n=20) of patients were male. In 95.2% of cases a deep respiratory sample was collected through bronchoalveolar aspiration. All isolates were *Acinetobacter baumannii* carbapenem-resistant (CRAB). The median time of VAP-onset was 7 days (IQR 4-10 days) from ICU admission and 8 days (5-13 days) from endotracheal intubation (ETI). 76.2% of patients had concomitant septic shock. Antibiotic treatment regimens were in all cases colistin-based

Table 2 - Characteristics of patients with carbapenem resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* ventilator-associated pneumonia in COVID-19.

	Overall (n= 21)	Survivors (n=7)	Non-survivors (n= 14)	p value
Median age (years, IQR)	66 (54-73)	66 (54-73)	66 (53-72)	0.989
Male sex (%)	20 (95.29)	6 (85.71)	14 (100)	0.384
Deep bronchial aspirate culture (%)	20 (95.23)	7 (100)	13 (92.86)	0.543
Median onset VAP from ICU admission (days, IQR)	7 (4-10)	9 (7-11)	7 (4-10)	0.133
Median onset VAP from ETI (days, IQR)	8 (5-13)	11 (7-15)	7 (3-11)	0.147
Septic shock (%)	16 (76.2)	5 (58)	11 (76.2)	0.155
Empirical treatment active against CRAB (%)	6 (28)	3(42.8)	3 (21.43)	0.139
Definitive treatment regimen (%):				
COL + RIF + SUL	6 (28)	3 (42.8)	3 (21.43)	0.139
COL + MER HD + TIG HD	2 (9.5)	0	2 (14.29)	
COL + MER HD + SUL	2 (9.5)	2 (28.57)	0	
COL + FOS HD + SUL	2 (9.5)	2 (28.57)	0	
CFDC rescue	2 (9.5)	1 (14.29)	1 (7.14)	0.744
7-days survival rate (%)	15 (71.4)	--	--	
21-days survival rate (%)	7 (35)	--	--	
28-days survival rate (%)	7 (35)	--	--	

Abbreviations: ICU: intensive care unit; ETI: endotracheal intubation; CRAB: carbapenem resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*; COL: colistin; RIF: rifampicin; SUL: ampicillin/sulbactam; MER HD: meropenem high dose; TIG HD: tigecycline high dose; FOS HD: fosfomycin high dose; CFDC: cefiderocol.

combination therapy in 28% (n=6) including ampicillin/sulbactam and rifampicin, in 9% (n=2) including meropenem high dose and tigecycline high dose, in the 9% (n=2) meropenem high dose and ampicillin/sulbactam and in 9% (n=2) with ampicillin/sulbactam and fosfomycin high dose. In two cases, a rescue treatment with cefiderocol in compassionate use was started because of poor clinical response with the first-line antibiotic regimen. Survival rate at 7-days was 71.4% (n=15), at 21-days 35% (n=7), at 28-days 35% (n=7). Among survivors, the median age was 66 years old versus 66 years old in non-survivors. The median time of VAP onset was nine days versus seven days from ICU admission and 11 days versus seven days from ETI in non-survivors. The septic shock occurred concomitantly with VAP in 58% of survivors versus 76.2% in non-survivor patients. On the base of the knowledge of the rectal colonization data, overall 28% (n=6) patients received an empiric treatment active against CRAB [survivors 42.8% (n=3), non-survivors 21.43% (n=3) (p=0.477)]. Moreover, in all cases the empirical regimen was colistin, ampicillin/sulbactam and rifampicin. Among definitive treatment, the used regimen was colistin, ampicillin/sulbactam and rifampicin in 42.8% of survivors versus 21.43% of non-survivors. Only 2 patients out of 5 considered for compassionate use received cefiderocol monotherapy as second line rescue regimen, whereas 3 died before receiving the drug. Among patients treated with cefiderocol as rescue, only one patient survived at 28-days evaluation. Overall, no statistically significant differences among survivors and non-survivors were observed at 28-days (p=0.774) (Table 2).

■ DISCUSSION

The literature describes a higher incidence and recurrence rate of VAP during COVID-19 than in the pre-COVID-19 period [3, 7, 12-13]. Even though the studies are heterogeneous, and the sample sizes are limited, the median time of VAP onset in COVID-19 patients does not seem to differ to that reported in VAP in non-COVID-19 patients. Similarly, to non-COVID-19 patients, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and septic shock at the onset of VAP emerged as independent predictors of mortality [7]. In COVID-19, patients with VAP are confirmed to have a high

crude mortality rate and prolonged ICU median stay as non-COVID-19 patients. Our data on *A. baumannii* VAP in COVID-19, appeared similar to those reported in literature on other aetiologies regarding the median time of VAP onset and the finding of lower survival rate in patients with concomitant septic shock which were the vast majority with a frequency of two thirds in our study [7-13]. Data are heterogeneous regarding mortality of *A. baumannii* VAP in COVID-19 and since patients' baseline conditions are different and no single pathogen study is available to date to our knowledge, mixed aetiologies of VAP do not allow to do inferences about outcomes. Nonetheless a lower 28-days mortality rate was observed in our study comparing with a study including one third of *A. baumannii* VAP in second wave of COVID-19 pandemic (i.e., 65% versus 100%), whereas another study with a 40% of *Acinetobacter baumannii* VAP and median SOFA score between 10 and 20 showed a lower mortality rate (i.e., 65% versus 45%) [14, 22].

Even in not-COVID-19 patients, the diagnosis of suspected VAP cases is notoriously difficult. Pulmonary infections are typically confirmed in only 20%-60% of cases. Treatment delays are possible, and antibiotic overuse and a low rate of de-escalation are common [1-5, 23-25]. Suspected VAP in COVID-19 is microbiologically confirmed with a deep bronchoalveolar sampling in 35%-45% and with an endotracheal aspirate in around 40%-60% of cases [7, 11-13]. In our population, microbiological confirmation of suspected *A. baumannii* VAP in COVID-19 was obtained in 20/21 of our patients through broncho-aspiration as suggested by the International ERS/ESICM/ESCMID/ALAT Guidelines approach prioritizing high quantitative specificity deep cultural sampling over other methods. Only one case has been identified through a quantitative high sensitivity cultural sampling through the endotracheal aspirate cultural exam as suggested by the IDSA approach. The association between increased antibiotic use and the emergence of antimicrobial resistance in ICUs has been well established. In VAP with COVID-19, although most studies have introduced the term *early antibiotic therapy*, treatments are already ongoing before deterioration and the need for endotracheal intubation and MV [9, 11, 13]. Data regarding molecules and classes according to the epidemiological setting and re-

sistance rates are currently lacking, and it is impossible to establish antibiotic appropriateness. This metric has been evaluated by only one study, resulting in no protective effect on mortality [7]. In VAP with COVID-19, isolates, when available, show a large preponderance of Gram-negative bacteria, including Enterobacterales (50%-0%) [8, 9, 11, 13]. Moreover, non-fermenting Gram-negative bacteria reached up to 40% of all isolates, especially *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* and multi-drug resistance appeared significantly more frequently in patients with COVID-19 than in non-COVID-19 patients with carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales comprising one-third of all isolates [7, 9, 10, 14]. A reported higher use of carbapenems in patients with VAP and COVID-19 compared with VAP in non-COVID-19 patients could be explained not only by the need for the empirical use of antibiotics, justified by the low rate of microbiological confirmation of VAP, but also by the increased rate of extended-spectrum beta-lactams-producing isolates in deep respiratory samples [10]. In our study, most diagnoses were obtained by the high specificity European approach. Nonetheless, based on clinical suspicion, we observed a relatively more frequent start of an appropriate empiric treatment based on the colonization data among those who survived than non-survivors, even though the sample size was limited and did not reach statistical significance. Among all isolates, non-fermenting Gram-negative bacteria, including *A. baumannii*, and multi-drug resistant bacteria, including carbapenem-resistant enterobacteria emerged among major aetiologies of VAP in COVID-19 patients. This underscores the urgent need for proper microbiological identification to address targeted and combination therapies. It also provides the opportunity to reflect on infection prevention and control protocols to avert colonization in first place, especially intra-individual protections, mitigation strategies and management of respiratory devices in ICU settings during pandemics (i.e., overcrowded ICUs) [26-28].

■ CONCLUSIONS

During this pandemic, the difficulties of managing patients with COVID-19 have been exacerbated. It has also worsened the already well-known difficulties of diagnosing and managing of pa-

tients with suspected VAP. Despite these factors, since 1972, when clinical criteria were introduced by Johanson et al., VAP has been producing new points of discussion for both the new and old generations of doctors [29].

Funding information

No competing interest to disclose.

Conflict of interest

None to declare.

Authors' contribution

IDB and TL collected the data, wrote and revised the manuscript; SC and FDR supervised the work and revised the manuscript; NS revised the manuscript; EC was responsible of sample identification and management; AB and MP supervised the work.

■ REFERENCES

- [1] American Thoracic Society, Infectious Diseases Society of America Guidelines for the management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2005; 171, 388-416.
- [2] Reignier J, Mercier E, Le Gouge A, et al. Clinical Research in Intensive C, Sepsis G. Effect of not monitoring residual gastric volume on risk of ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults receiving mechanical ventilation and early enteral feeding: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2013; 309, 249-256.
- [3] Koulenti D, Tsigou E, Rello J. Nosocomial pneumonia in 27 ICUs in Europe: perspectives from the EU-VAP/CAP study. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2017; 36 (11), 1999-2006.
- [4] Seguin P, Laviolle B, Dahyot-Fizelier C, et al. Effect of oropharyngeal povidone-iodine preventive oral care on ventilator-associated pneumonia in severely brain-injured or cerebral hemorrhage patients: a multicenter, randomized controlled trial. *Crit Care Med.* 2014; 42, 1-8.
- [5] Papazian L, Klompas M, Luyt CE. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults: a narrative review. *Intensive Care Med.* 2020; 46 (5), 888-906.
- [6] Guan W, Ni Z, Hu Yu, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med.* 2020; 382: 1708e20.
- [7] Giacobbe DR, Battaglini D, Enrile EM, et al. Incidence and prognosis of Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia in critically ill patients with COVID-19: a multicenter study. *J. Clin. Med.* 2021; 10, 555.
- [8] Litjohs JF, Bredin S., Lascarrrou JB, et al. Increased

susceptibility to intensive care unit-acquired pneumonia in severe COVID-19 patients: a multicentre retrospective cohort study. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2021; 11, 20.

[9] Luyt CE, Sahnoun T, Gautier M, et al. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in patients with SARS-CoV-2-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome requiring ECMO: a retrospective cohort study. *Ann. Intensive Care*. 2020; 10, 158.

[10] Razazi K, Arrestier R, Haudebourg AF, et al. Risks of ventilator-associated pneumonia and invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in patients with viral acute respiratory distress syndrome related or not to Coronavirus 19 disease. *Crit Care*. 2020; 24, 699.

[11] Rouzé A, Martin-Loeches I, Povoia P, et al. Relationship between SARS-CoV-2 infection and the incidence of ventilator-associated lower respiratory tract infections: a European multicenter cohort study. *Intensive Care Med*. 2021; 47, 188-198.

[12] Maes M, Higginson E, Pereira-Dias J, et al. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Crit Care*. 2021; 25, 25.

[13] Blonz G, Kouatchet A, Chudeau N, et al. Epidemiology and microbiology of ventilator-associated pneumonia in COVID-19 patients: a multicenter retrospective study in 188 patients in an un-inundated French region. *Crit Care*. 2021; 25, 72.

[14] Meawed TE, Ahmed SM, Mowafy SMS, Samir GM, Anis RH. Bacterial and fungal ventilator associated pneumonia in critically ill COVID-19 patients during the second wave. *J Infect Public Health*. 2021; 14 (10): 1375-1380.

[15] Pascale R, Bussini L, Gaibani P, et al. Carbapenem resistant bacteria in Intensive Care Unit during COVID-19 pandemic: multicenter before-after cross sectional study. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2021; 16, 1-25.

[16] Sharifipour E, Shams S, Esmkhani M, et al. Evaluation of bacterial co-infections of the respiratory tract in COVID-19 patients admitted to ICU. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2020; 20, 464.

[17] Gottesman T, Fedorowsky R, Yerushalmi R, et al. An outbreak of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in COVID-19 dedicated hospital. *Infect Prev Pract*. 2021; 3, 100113.

[18] Shinohara DR, Dos Santos Saalfeld SM, Martinez HV, et al. Outbreak of endemic carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in a coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)-specific intensive care unit. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2022; 43 (6), 815-817.

[19] National Healthcare Safety Network. Pneumonia (Ventilator-associated [VAP] and non-ventilator-associated Pneumonia [PNEU]) Event. Center for Diseases Control (CDC) Guidelines. www.cdc.org.

[20] Torres A, Niederman MS, Chastre J, et al. International ERS/ESICM/ESCMID/ALAT guidelines for the management of hospital-acquired pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia: Guidelines for the management of hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP)/ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) of the European Respiratory Society (ERS), European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM), European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) and Asociación Latinoamericana del Tórax (ALAT). *Eur Respir J*. 2017; 50 (3), 1700582.

[21] Kalil AC, Metersky ML, Klompas M, et al. Management of adults with hospital-acquired and ventilator-associated pneumonia: 2016 clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the American Thoracic Society. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016; 63 (5), e61-e111. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciw353. Erratum in: *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017 May 1; 64 (9): 1298. Erratum in: *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017 Oct 15; 65 (8), 1435. Erratum in: *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017 Nov 29; 65 (12), 2161.

[22] Jamnani AN, Montazeri M, Mirzakhani M, Moosazadeh M, Haghghi M. Evaluation of Bacterial Coinfection and Antibiotic Resistance in Patients with COVID-19 Under Mechanical Ventilation. *SN Compr Clin Med*. 2022; 4 (1), 19.

[23] Fernando SM, Tran A, Cheng W, et al. Diagnosis of ventilator-associated pneumonia in critically ill adult patients—a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intensive Care Med*. 2020; 46 (6), 1170-1179.

[24] Chastre J, Trouillet JL, Vuagnat A, et al. Nosocomial pneumonia in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1998; 157 (4 Pt 1), 1165-1172.

[25] François B, Laterre PF, Luyt CE, Chastre J. The challenge of ventilator-associated pneumonia diagnosis in COVID-19 patients. *Crit Care*. 2020; 24 (1), 289.

[26] Durán-Manuel EM, Cruz-Cruz C, Ibáñez-Cervantes G, et al. Clonal dispersion of *Acinetobacter baumannii* in an intensive care unit designed to patients COVID-19. *J Infect Dev Ctries*. 2021; 15 (1), 58-68.

[27] García-Meniño I, Forcelledo L, Rosete Y, García-Prieto E, Escudero D, Fernández J. Spread of OXA-48-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* among COVID-19-infected patients: The storm after the storm. *J Infect Public Health*. 2021; 14 (1), 50-52.

[28] Shbaklo N, Lupia T, De Rosa FG, Corcione S. Infection Control in the Era of COVID-19: A Narrative Review. *Antibiotics (Basel)*. 2021; 10 (10), 1244.

[29] Johanson WG, Jr, Pierce AK, Sanford JP, Thomas GD. Nosocomial respiratory infections with gram-negative bacilli. The significance of colonization of the respiratory tract. *Ann Intern Med*. 1972; 77, 701-706.